

Is C-reactive Protein an Independent Risk Factor for Complication of Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy for Acute Cholecystitis?

Hamdy A. Elhady

Department of General Surgery ,Tanta University

ABSTRACT

Background: Acute cholecystitis (AC) is the most common complication of gall bladder stones. C-reactive protein (CRP) level is only used as a diagnostic criterion of AC. Because there is the lack of studies demonstrating a better discrimination power of CRP measurement on AC, The aim of this study was evaluate the discriminative power of CRP in AC management and treatment outcome. **Patients and Methods:** The number of patients in this study was 30 patients presented with AC. After clinical examination, Laboratory and radiological investigations and resuscitation within 1st 24h. of admission, all patients treated by laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC). **Results:** Out of total participants, 8 patients were males (26.7%) and 22 females (73.3%). There ages ranged from 21 to 66 years with mean \pm SD 11.29. Higher levels CRP were found in cases of high grade fever, palpable tender right. hypochondrial mass and pyocele cases with significant difference which was 0.001, 0.001 and 0.005 respectively. Timing of intervention was within 7 days from 1st symptom day ranged 2-7 days with mean 4.37. Total operative time was ranging from 42-180 minutes with a mean of 109.57 minutes. Blood loss ranged from 50-200 cc with mean 95. Total hospital stay ranged from 4-6 days. **Conclusion:** High levels of CRP with male sex, high grade fever preoperatively, presence of palpable tender right. hypochondrial mass, high total leucocytic count, cases of pyocele and presence of intra-operative adhesion and timing of intervention are risk factors for difficulty, complications, operative and postoperative out come in patients under going laparoscopic cholecystectomy for Acute cholecystitis.

INTRODUCTION

Acute cholecystitis (AC) is the most common complication of gall bladder stones and one of the most frequently seen surgical diseases⁽¹⁾.

The Clinical diagnosis of AC is challenging and may result in misdiagnosis, delay in laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC), or hospital discharge without operation⁽²⁻⁴⁾.

Failure to perform cholecystectomy for AC at index admission is associated with an increased mortality, higher hospital readmission, and open cholecystectomy rates, and an increased health care costs⁽⁵⁾.

To improve the sensitivity of the diagnosis of AC, the Japanese society of Hepato-Biliary. Pancreatic Surgery developed 2007 and 2013 Tokyo Guidelines, according to these guidelines, diagnostic criteria for AC includes physical examination findings, laboratory results such as C-reactive protein (CRP) and white blood cell levels, as well as radiological evaluation^(6,7).

CRP level is only used as a diagnostic criterion of AC, and it is not part of the determinant criteria of the severity assessment of

the disease in the guidelines. On the other hand, Correlation between CRP levels and severity of AC is a well-known fact. Several studies have reported that CRP level is a reliable predictor of severe conditions of inflammation in AC⁽⁸⁻¹⁰⁾.

Because there is the lack of studies demonstrating a better discriminative power of CRP measurement on AC, the aim of this study was evaluate the discriminative power of CRP in AC management and treatment outcome.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

This study was carried out in the gastrointestinal and laparoscopic surgery unit, General Surgery Department, Tanta University Hospitals in the period from April 2014 to January 2016. The number of Patients in this study was 30 Patients who presented with AC.

Inclusion Criteria:

- All Patients who presented with AC.

Exclusion Criteria :-

- Patients with elevated CRP that could be due to associated condition e.g. acute pancreatitis.

Demographics, history, physical examination, laboratory , and imaging findings during admission were evaluated.

Patients were initially given intravenous fluids, antibiotics and analgesic till resuscitation and control of fever and pain, then treated by LC after 24hours, Histopathological examination of the gall bladder was performed for all patients.




Fig. (1): Adhesions between the gall bladder and surrounding structures.




Fig. (2): Adhesiolysis




Fig. (3): Evacuating a distended gall bladder by verrus needle




Fig. (4): After evacuation of the distended gall bladder




Fig. (5): Clipping the artery




Fig. (6): Cutting cystic duct by scissors between proximal 2 clips and distal one

Statistical Analysis

Statistical presentation and analysis of the present study was conducted, using the mean, standard deviation and chi-square test by spss v.20

RESULTS

Out of total participants, 8 patients were males (26.7%) and 22 females (73.3%). There ages ranged from 21 to 65 years with mean \pm SD 11.29.

Table (1): Laboratory investigations.

<i>Patients (n = 30)</i>			
Parameter		N	%
TLC	Normal	9	30
	Elevated	21	70
Total bilirubin	Normal	28	93.3
	Elevated	2	6.7
SGOT	Normal	25	83.3
	Elevated	5	16.7
SGPT	Normal	23	76.7
	Elevated	7	23.3
H. pylori	No	17	56.7
	Yes	13	43.3
HCV Ab	No	22	73.3
	Yes	8	26.7
CRP	Range	9-96	
	Mean \pm S.D	44.60 \pm 35.08	
T.L.C	Range	4200-22700	
	Mean \pm S.D	22700.48 \pm 4534.06	

High total leucocytic count was found in cases of high grade fever with significant difference 0.001 and presence of palpable tender right hypochondrial mass with significant difference 0.001. **Table 2**

Table (2): Total leucocytic count correlations.

TLC		Range	Mean	\pm	S. D	t. test	p. value
Fever	High	12800 – 22700	15485.71	\pm	3357.85	29.110	0.001*
	Low	4200 – 12800	9106.25	\pm	3116.72		
Palpable tender right hypochondrial mass	Yes	12800 – 22700	15492.31	\pm	3494	22.650	0.001*
	No	4200 – 15400	9476.47	\pm	3381.85		

Higher levels CRP was found in cases of high grade fever with significant difference 0.001, presence of palpable tender right hypochondrial mass with significant difference 0.001 and pyocele cases with significant difference 0.005. **Table 3**

Table (3): CRP correlations.

CRP		Range	Mean	\pm	S. D	t. test	p. value
Fever	High	9 – 96	70.71	\pm	32.28	28.179	0.001*
	Low	9 – 48	21.75	\pm	16.81		
palpable tender right hypo-chondrial mass	Yes	9 – 96	72.46	\pm	32.91	27.887	0.001*
	No	9 – 48	23.29	\pm	17.48		
Pyocele	No	9 – 48	38.89	\pm	32.16	9.173	0.005*
	Yes	9 – 96	96.0	\pm	0.0		

Both CRP and TLC were noticed to be directly proportion with amount of intra-operative hemorrhage. **Figure 1, 2**




Fig. (1): TLC correlation with hemorrhage




Fig. (2): CRP correlation with hemorrhage

Timing of intervention was within 7 days from 1st symptom day and ranging 2-7 days with mean 4.37.

Timing of intervention was directly proportion with hemorrhage and amount of drain post-operative with significant difference 0.006 and 0.035 respectively. **Table 4**

Table (4): Timing of intervention correlation with hemorrhage and amount of drain.

	<i>Timing of intervention</i>	
Hemorrhage	r	0.492
	P value	0.006*
Drain bag amount	r	0.472
	P value	0.035*

Total operative time ranged from 42-180 minutes with mean 109.57. Blood loss ranged from 50-200 cc with mean 95.

Long operative time was associated with male sex, preoperative high grade fever, presence of palpable tender right hypo-chondrial mass, higher total leucocytic count and higher CRP levels with significant difference as follow 0.03, 0.001, 0.001,0.001, 0.002 respectively. **Table 5**

Table (5): Operative time correlation with demographic data.

<i>Operative time</i>		<i>Range</i>	<i>Mean</i>	\pm	<i>S. D</i>	<i>t. test</i>	<i>p. value</i>
Sex	Male	70 – 170	139.5	\pm	32.75	5.207	0.03*
	Female	42 – 180	98.68	\pm	46.32		
Fever	High	78 – 180	139.43	\pm	29.73	16.835	0.001*
	Low	42 – 160	83.44	\pm	42.77		
RUQ mass	Yes	78 – 180	141.23	\pm	30.14	16.372	0.001*
	No	42 – 160	85.35	\pm	42.16		
TLC	r	0.675					
	P value	0.001*					
CRP	r	0.532					
	P value	0.002*					

Also, Some intra-operative findings has been noted to have longer operative time as cases of pyocele, presence of intraoperative adhesions and more intra-operative hemorrhage, with significant difference 0.029, 0.003 and 0.001 respectively. It was noted that delayed timing of intervention had no significant difference (0.145) on operative time. **Table 6**

Table (6): Operative time correlations with timing of intervention and intra-operative findings.

Operative time		Range	Mean	±	S. D	t. test	p. value
Pyocele	No	42 – 180	103.42	±	44.88	5.271	0.029*
	Yes	157 – 170	164.0	±	6.56		
Adhesion	No	42 – 105	65.86	±	20.82	10.879	0.003*
	Yes	42 – 180	122.87	±	43.85		
Hemorrhage	r		0.573				
	P value		0.001*				
Timing of intervention	r		0.273				
	P value		0.145				

Total hospital stay ranged from 4-6 days. It has been noted that hospital stay increased with male patients, pyocele cases and delayed timing of intervention with significant difference of 0.035 , 0.016 and 0.011 respectively.

Table (7): Hospital stay correlations.

Total hospital stay			4	5	6	X2	P-value
Sex	Male	N	0	5	3	6.684	0.035*
		%	.0%	23.8%	75.0%		
	Female	N	5	16	1	2.726	0.256
		%	100.0%	76.2%	25.0%		
Fever	High	N	1	10	3	2.774	0.254
		%	20.0%	47.6%	75.0%		
	Low	N	4	11	1	8.307	0.016*
		%	80.0%	52.4%	25.0%		
RUQ Mass	Yes	N	1	9	3	2.774	0.254
		%	20.0%	42.9%	75.0%		
	No	N	4	12	1	8.307	0.016*
		%	80.0%	57.1%	25.0%		
Pyocele	No	N	5	20	2	8.307	0.016*
		%	100.0%	95.2%	50.0%		
	Yes	N	0	1	2		
		%	.0%	4.8%	50.0%		

Table (8): Hospital stay correlations

Total hospital stay		Range	Mean	±	S. D	F. test	p. value
CRP	4	9 – 96	33.60	±	39.02	0.424	0.659
	5	9 – 96	45.14	±	33.01		
	6	9 – 96	55.50	±	47.34		
TLC	4	4800 – 15300	10160.00	±	4147.05	1.068	0.358
	5	4200 – 22700	12066.67	±	4810.96		
	6	11700 – 18000	14575.00	±	2653.77		
Timing of intervention	4	2 – 3	2.60	±	0.55	5.321	0.011*
	5	2 – 7	4.62	±	1.47		
	6	4 – 7	5.25	±	1.50		
Operative time	4	56 – 160	113.40	±	44.07	0.168	0.846
	5	42 – 180	106.52	±	47.44		
	6	70 – 170	120.75	±	54.12		
Hemorrhage	4	50 – 50	50.00	±	0.00	3.180	0.058
	5	50 – 200	97.62	±	55.85		
	6	50 – 200	137.50	±	62.92		

DISCUSSION

According to Tokyo Guidelines 2007,2013, high plasma level of C-Reactive protein is one of the diagnostic criteria in AC. In previous studies, regarding the grading of the disease according to Tokyo Guidelines, no cut-off value of CRP have been proposed so far. In a study by Nikfarjam et al., CRP value >94 was found to be a significant risk factor for Gangrenous gall bladder⁽⁸⁾ Mok et al., reported that CRP of 200 appears to be the best cut off point for predicting a gangrenous gall bladder⁽¹⁰⁾.

Asai et. al reported a significant correlation between high risk bactobilia and advanced age, high levels of CRP, and the evidence of significant gall bladder infection. In their study, the cut-off value was found to be 134 mg/L for bactobilia⁽¹¹⁾. Esin et al, concluded that CRP, a well known acute phase reactant that increases rapidly in various inflammatory processes, can be accepted as a strong predictor in classifying grades of the disease, and treatment can be reliably planned according to this classification⁽¹²⁾.

Our study comprised 30 patients there ages ranged from 21 to 65 with mean±S.D of 42.5±11.29. There were 8 males (26.7%) and 22 female (73.3%). All patients presented with elevated CRP ranging from 9-96 mg/L with a mean of 44.6 while 21 patients had elevated TLC with a mean of 22700.45 . 7(23.37%) and 5 (16.7%) patients had elevated SGPT and SGOT respectively and 2 cases (6.7%) should elevated bilirubin level. Pre-operative CRP in Shinke et al., study was slightly high in the late phase group (4-7 days) compared with the early phase group (first 3 days) and WBC count was almost identical between the groups, and on performing laparoscopic cholecystectomy in the late phase, it did not influence the operative, post operative complications or post operative hospital stay⁽¹³⁾.

Timing of intervention in our study ranged from 2-7 days form 1st symptom with a mean of (4.37). In our study male and pyocele had a delayed time of intervention. Delayed timing of intervention was directly proportion to the intra-operative hemorrhage, postoperative drain and hospital stay, however it had no significant value on operative time.

Teckchondani et al, reported that among patients with varying intra-operative severity of AC, There was significant difference in mean values of duration of Symptoms before surgery (P-value 0.006)⁽¹⁴⁾. Ohata et al, reported that there was no significant difference between timing intervention and peri-operative outcomes of conversion rate to open surgery, operative time, Blood loss, postoperative morbidity, operative and post operative complications.⁽¹⁵⁾.

Operative time in this study ranged from 42-180 minutes with a mean of 109.57. Longer operative time was associated with male, high grade fever pre-operatively, presence of palpable tender right hypochondrial mass, higher total leucocytic count, high CRP levels, cases of pyocele presence of intra-operative adhesions and more intra-operative blood loss. Ambe and Kohler reported that surgery lasted significantly longer in male patients⁽¹⁶⁾. While Bansal et al, reported longer duration of surgery is due to the time required for removal of inflammatory pericholecystic adhesions, intra-operative gall bladder decompression and longer learning curve.⁽¹⁷⁾. In this study, no conversion to open surgery and no bile duct injury. Total hospital stay in this study ranged from 4-6 days. we noted that hospital stay increased with males, cases of pyocele and delayed timing of intervention with a significant difference. Ambe and Kohler reported that the length of post operative hospital stay was significant longer in male patients⁽¹⁶⁾. Alqahtani found no significant difference in hospital stay between early (First 72 hours) and late group (after 72 hours)⁽¹⁸⁾. Also Farooq et al, reported that there was no significant difference in median post-operative hospital stay between early group and late group⁽¹⁹⁾. Popkharitov preformed a study of timing of surgery for AC. Three groups were compared, acute (72 hours), intermediate (4-7 days), and delayed (8 days) and no significant differences could be found in postoperative hospital stay between the 3 groups⁽²⁰⁾. Andrei et al, concluded that CRP measurement didn't influence management of patients with AC. To improve the quality of care and to minimize health care provider costs, Fit patients with more advanced forms of AC and higher values of CRP should have their operation performed earlier than patients with mild AC and a lower concentration of CRP⁽²¹⁾.

CONCLUSION

High levels of CRP with male sex, high grade fever preoperatively, presence of palpable tender right hypochondrial mass, high total leucocytic count, cases of pyocele and presence of intra-operative adhesion and timing of intervention are risk factors for difficulty, complications, operative and postoperative outcome in patients under going laparoscopic cholecystectomy for AC. We need to expand the number of patients for detection of cut-off level of CRP for grading of AC.

REFERENCES

1. Strasberg SM. Clinical practice. Acute calculus cholecystitis. *N Eng J Med* 2008; 358 (26) : 2804.
2. Brook OR, Kane RA, Tyagi G, et al. Lessons learned from quality assurance : errors in the diagnosis of acute cholecystitis on ultrasound and CT. *AJR AMJ Roentgenol* 2011; 196:597.
3. Sonjay P, Miltvall D, Marioud A, et al. Clinical outcome of a percutaneous cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis : a multicentre analysis. *HPB (oxford)* 2013;15:511.
4. Smith Tj, Manske JG, Mathiason MA, et al. Changing trends and outcomes in the use of percutaneous cholecystostomy tubes for acute cholecystitis. *Ann Surg* 2013;257:1112.
5. Riall TS, Zhang D, Townsall CN, et al. Failure to perform cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis in elderly patients is associated with increased morbidity, mortality and costs. *J Am Coll Surg* 2010;210:668.
6. Hirota M, Takada T, Kowarad Y, et al. Diagnostic criteria and severity assessment of acute cholecystitis Tokyo guidelines J Hepato-Biliary pancreat Surg 2007;14:78.
7. Yokoem, Tokada T, Strasberg SM, et al. TG 2013 diagnostic criteria and severity grading of acute cholecystitis (with videos) J Hepato-Biliary pancreat Surg 2013;20:35.
8. Aydin C, Altraca G, Rerber I, et al. Prognostic parameters for the prediction of acute gangrenous cholecystitis. *J Hepato-Biliary pancreat Surg* 2006;3(2):155.
9. Wevers kP, wessternen HL, Patijn GA. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy in acute cholecystitis: C-reactive protein level combined with age predicts conversion Surg laprosc Endosc precutan Tech. 2013; 23(2):136.
10. Mook W, Reddy R, Wood F, et al. is C-reactive protein a useful adjunct in selecting patients for emergency cholecystectomy by predicting severe gangrenous cholecystitis ? *IJS* 2014;12(7) : 649.
11. Asai K, Watanabe M, Kusachi S, et al. Bacteriological analysis of bile in acute cholecystitis according to The Tokyo guidelines. *J Hepato-Biliary pancreat Sci* 201;19(4): 476.
12. Esin KG, Bunymin G, Ismail EA, et al. prediction of the grade of acute cholecystitis by plasma level of C-reactive protein. *IRC Med* 2015,17(4);28091.
13. Shinke G, Nnada T, Hatana H, et al, Feasibility and safety of urgent laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis after 4 days from symptoms onset. *J Gastrointestin Surg* 2015; 19 : 1787.
14. Teckchandani N, Garg PK, Hadke NS, et al, predictive factors for successful early laparoscopic cholecystectomy in acute cholecystitis a prospective study *IJS* 2010 ;8 : 623.
15. Ohata M, Lwashita Y, Yada K et al, operative timing of laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis in a Japanese institute J of the Society of laparo Endoscopic Surgeons 2012; 16 : 65.
16. Ambe PE and Kohler L. is the male gender an independent risk factor for complication in patients under going cholecystectomy? *IJS* 2015, 100 : 854.
17. Bansal AR, Arora V, Dangi A, et al. Evaluation of early versus interval laparoscopic cholecystectomy in acute calculus cholecystitis. *Hellenic Journal of Surgery* 2015 ; 87 : 224.
18. Al- Qahtani HH. laparoscopic cholecystectomy within one week from onset of acute cholecystitis: A 6 year experience. *Journal of Taibah university Medical sciences* 2013 ; 8 : 38.
19. Farooq T, Buchanan G, Manda V, et al . Is early laparoscopic cholecystectomy safe after

- the "safe period" *Journal of Laparoscopic and Advanced Surgical Technique* 2009; 19: 471.
20. Popkharitov AI. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy for a cute cholecystitis. *Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery* 2008; 393: 935.
21. Andrei MB and Michael B. C-reactive protein measurement is not associated with an improved management of acute cholecystitis : a pile for change. *JSR* 2015; 198 : 93.
-